In determining the procedures to be followed with respect to issues not requiring a trial-type hearing, the Commission will seek to accommodate the needs of expedition and procedural fairness in accordance with section 3624(b) of the Act.

[45 FR 83226, Dec. 18, 1980]

§ 3001.67b Requests involving experimental changes—unavailability of data.

If the Postal Service believes that data required to be filed under §3001.64 are unavailable, it shall explain their unavailability as required §3001.64(a)(2)(i), (ii), and (iv). In particular, if the experimental character of the request bears on the unavailability of the data in question, the Postal Service shall explain in detail nexus between these circumstances. A satisfactory explanation of the unavailability of data will be grounds for excluding from the proceeding a contention that the absence of the data should form a basis for rejection of the request, unless the party desiring to make such contention (a) demonstrates that, having regard to all the facts and circumstances of the case, it was clearly unreasonable for the Postal Service to propose the change in question without having first secured the data which are unavailable, or (b) demonstrates other compelling and exceptional circumstances requiring that the absence of the data in question be treated as bearing on the merits of the proposal.

[45 FR 83227, Dec. 18, 1980]

§ 3001.67c Requests involving experimental changes—plans for data collection.

- (a) In any instance in which the Postal Service designates as unavailable data called for by §3001.64, it shall, as part of its initial filing under that rule, either
- (1) Describe with particularity the means it proposes to employ to collect those data, or
- (2) State with particularity the reasons it believes establish that such data are unnecessary.

The Commission may by order require data to be collected during the course

of the experiment which the Postal Service has not planned to collect, if it finds that a statement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section does not justify the omission to collect the particular data in issue.

(b) In the case of data which are not required to be filed under §3001.64, but which the Postal Service intends to collect during and for the purposes of the proposed experimental change, the Postal Service shall include in its initial filing a detailed description of the data involved, the uses to which they would be put, and the methods to be employed in collecting them.

[45 FR 83227, Dec. 18, 1980]

§ 3001.67d Requests involving experimental changes—time limit.

The Commission will treat cases falling under §§ 3001.67 through 3001.67d as subject to the maximum expedition consistent with procedural fairness. The schedule for adoption of a recommended decision will therefore be established, in each such case, to allow for issuance of such decision not more than 150 days from the determination of any issue as to the propriety of experimental treatment under § 3001.67 (b) and (c) in a sense favorable to such treatment, or from the date of the filing of the request, whichever occurs later. Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect the rights of the Postal Service or of other parties with respect to the temporary implementation of changes pursuant to section 3641 of the Act.

[45 FR 83227, Dec. 18, 1980]

§ 3001.68 Text of the Domestic Mail Classification Schedule.

The Domestic Mail Classification Schedule established in accordance with subchapter II of chapter 36 of title 39 of the United States Code appears (with blank rate schedules) as appendix A to this subpart.

[50 FR 28144, July 10, 1985]

§ 3001.69 Expedited minor classification cases—applicability.

(a) This section and §§ 3001.69a through 3001.69c apply in cases where the Postal Service requests a recommended decision pursuant to section